

NOTICE OF REVIEW

UNDER SECTION 43A(8) OF THE TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (SCOTLAND) ACT 1997 (AS AMENDED)IN RESPECT OF DECISIONS ON LOCAL DEVELOPMENTS

THE TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (SCHEMES OF DELEGATION AND LOCAL REVIEW PROCEDURE) (SCOTLAND) REGULATIONS 2008

THE TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (APPEALS) (SCOTLAND) REGULATIONS 2008

IMPORTANT: Failure to supply all the relevant information could invalidate your notice of review.

Use BLOCK CAPITALS if completing in manuscript

Applicant(s) Name Mr G Bain	Agent (if any) Name Mr Ruari Gardiner						
Address 71 Clermiston Road	Address G53 Design Ltd, 209 Muirshiel Crescent						
Postcode EH12 6UY	Postcode G53 6XD						
Contact Telephone 1 Contact Telephone 2 Fax No	Contact Telephone 1 07877 094662 Contact Telephone 2 Fax No						
E-mail*	E-mail* ruari_gardiner@hotmail.co.uk						
	Mark this box to confirm all contact should be through this representative:						
* Do you agree to correspondence regarding your review b	Yes No eeing sent by e-mail?						
Planning authority Scottish Borders Council							
Planning authority's application reference number 16/01371/F	UL						
Site address Agricultural Buildings South East Of Merlewood Hutton Castle Barns							
Description of proposed Change of use of agricultural build development	lings and alterations to form 12 No dwellinghouses						
Date of application 01.08.16 Da	te of decision (if any) 05.02.18						

Note. This notice must be served on the planning authority within three months of the date of the decision notice or from the date of expiry of the period allowed for determining the application.

Nature of application						
1.	Application for planning permission (including householder application)					
2.	Application for planning permission in principle					
3.	Further application (including development that has not yet commenced and where a time limit has been imposed; renewal of planning permission; and/or modification, variation or removal of a planning condition)					
4.	Application for approval of matters specified in conditions					
Reasons for seeking review						
1.	Refusal of application by appointed officer					
2.	Failure by appointed officer to determine the application within the period allowed for determination of the application					
3.	Conditions imposed on consent by appointed officer					
Revi	ew procedure					
The Local Review Body will decide on the procedure to be used to determine your review and may at any time during the review process require that further information or representations be made to enable them to determine the review. Further information may be required by one or a combination of procedures, such as: written submissions; the holding of one or more hearing sessions and/or inspecting the land which is the subject of the review case.						
Please indicate what procedure (or combination of procedures) you think is most appropriate for the handling of your review. You may tick more than one box if you wish the review to be conducted by a combination of procedures.						
1.	Further written submissions					
2.	One or more hearing sessions					
3.	Site inspection √					
4	Assessment of review documents only, with no further procedure					
If you have marked box 1 or 2, please explain here which of the matters (as set out in your statement below) you believe ought to be subject of that procedure, and why you consider further submissions or a hearing are necessary:						
Site	inspection					
In th	e event that the Local Review Body decides to inspect the review site, in your opinion: Yes No					
1.	Can the site be viewed entirely from public land?					
2	Is it possible for the site to be accessed safely, and without barriers to entry?					
If there are reasons why you think the Local Review Body would be unable to undertake an unaccompanied site inspection, please explain here:						

Statement

You must state, in full, why you are seeking a review on your application. Your statement must set out all matters you consider require to be taken into account in determining your review. Note: you may not have a further opportunity to add to your statement of review at a later date. It is therefore essential that you submit with your notice of review, all necessary information and evidence that you rely on and wish the Local Review Body to consider as part of your review.

If the Local Review Body issues a notice requesting further information from any other person or body, you will have a period of 14 days in which to comment on any additional matter which has been raised by that person or body.

State here the reasons for your notice of review and all matters you wish to raise. If necessary, this can be continued or provided in full in a separate document. You may also submit additional documentation with this form.

continued or provided in full in a separate document. You may also submit additional documentation with this form.						
We are writing to appeal the planning application noted above due to numerous issues which appear to have been overlooked by the planning department. Throughout the correspondence with the Planning Officer there have been numerous questions asked which have yet to be answered or investigated.						
The primary reason for the applications refusal revolves around the speculation that the current grain store could be changed to house pigs in the future and interfere with farming activities. Current advice from SEPA indicates that pigs cannot be located within 300m of a dwelling, there are numerous dwellings which all fall within this zone and would therefore prevent any possible development of this nature.						
Specifically, there is a dwelling (Merlewood) which is closer to the grain store than the proposed development and was subject to a planning approval some time ago. This therefore highlights the question, if a dwelling was previously approved why is a similar residential proposal now being rejected? To date we have had no satisfactory response on any of the questions raised.						
Alternative proposals were submitted moving any dwelling further away from the grain store than the previously approved house. These were rejected on the grounds they were too close to the grain store.						
Further to this numerous attempts were made to engage with the officer on the items listed within the refusals however these were ignored. Therefore the only means of understanding what issues there were was through looking at the portal and made understanding the issues extremely difficult.						
Fundamentally the Scottish Borders Heritage Officer has offered their support of the application and re-use of these						
Have you raised any matters which were not before the appointed officer at the time the determination on your application was made?						
If yes, you should explain in the box below, why you are raising new material, why it was not raised with the appointed officer before your application was determined and why you consider it should now be considered in you review.						

List of documents and evidence

	e a list of all supporting documents, materials and evidence which you wish to submit with your notice ntend to rely on in support of your review.
procedure of the	nning authority will make a copy of the notice of review, the review documents and any notice of the ne review available for inspection at an office of the planning authority until such time as the review is may also be available on the planning authority website.
Checklist	
Please mark th your review:	ne appropriate boxes to confirm you have provided all supporting documents and evidence relevant to
√ F	full completion of all parts of this form
√ s	statement of your reasons for requiring a review
	all documents, materials and evidence which you intend to rely on (e.g. plans and drawings or other ocuments) which are now the subject of this review.
or removal of a	ne review relates to a further application e.g. renewal of planning permission or modification, variation a planning condition or where it relates to an application for approval of matters specified in conditions, to provide the application reference number, approved plans and decision notice from that earlier
Declaration	
	nt/agent [delete as appropriate] hereby serve notice on the planning authority to review the set out on this form and in the supporting documents.
Signed	Date 03.05.18
	eted form should be returned to the Head of Corporate Administration, Scottish
KORDORS [OI	uncil Council Headquarters, Newtown St. Boswells TD6 0SA.

We are writing to appeal the planning application noted above due to numerous issues which appear to have been overlooked by the planning department. Throughout the correspondence with the Planning Officer there have been numerous questions asked which have yet to be answered or investigated.

The primary reason for the applications refusal, revolves around the speculation that the current grain store could be changed to house pigs in the future and interfere with farming activities. Current advice from SEPA indicates that pigs cannot be located within 300m of a dwelling, there are numerous dwellings which all fall within this zone and would therefore prevent any possible development of this nature.

Specifically, there is a dwelling (Merlewood) which is closer to the grain store than the proposed development and was subject to a planning approval some time ago. This therefore highlights the question, if a dwelling was previously approved why is a similar residential proposal now being rejected? To date we have had no satisfactory response on any of the questions raised.

Alternative proposals were submitted moving any dwelling further away from the grain store than the previously approved house(Merlewood). These were rejected on the grounds they were too close to the grain store.

Further to this numerous attempts were made to engage with the officer on the items listed within the refusals however these were ignored. Therefore the only means of understanding what issues there were was through looking at the portal and made understanding the issues extremely difficult.

Fundamentally the Scottish Borders Heritage Officer has offered their support of the application and re-use of these important historic buildings. The proposals have also generated a huge amount of local support, citing that the preservation of these buildings is of upmost importance.

Due to their state of disrepair the cost of the making the buildings safe and preserving them is uneconomical without the proposed development. We therefore face the distinct possibility of losing these important links to our past.

Having tried to engage with the planning officer and not having key information relayed to us such as the Roads Departments / Environmental Health's comments - these issues were unable to be addressed. Further to this the planning officer was fully aware of our intentions to have the Ecological Survey, Historic Buildings Report and Developer Contributions all dealt with through a condition applied to any approval.